

UNIT-IV

Page No. _____
Date: / /

* Orthogonal Complement:- let M be a subset of a Hilbert space X then the orthogonal complement of M is the set of all element of X which are orthogonal to every element of M .

we write M^\perp to denote the orthogonal complement of M . characteristics are given below:

- (i) M^\perp is closed subspace of X for every $M \subset X$.
- (ii) It may consist of the zero vector only.
- (iii) If $M \neq \{0\}$ then $M^\perp \neq X$.

Projection Theorem

Statement:- If M is a closed subspace of a Hilbert space X and $x \in X$ then \exists a unique element y in M and $z \in M^\perp$ such that

$$x = y + z$$

i.e. $X = M + M^\perp$

Proof:- let

$$K = \{x - k : k \in M\}$$

we claim that K is a non-empty closed and convex subset of X .

We Prove that K is closed:-

let $\{x - k_n\}$ be any sequence in K s.t. $x - k_n \rightarrow x - k$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where $k, k_n \in M, x \in X$.

Thus,

$$\|k_n - k\| = \|x - k_n - x + k\|$$

$$= \|(x - k_n) - (x - k)\|$$

$$\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\|k_n - k\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow k_n \rightarrow k \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$\Rightarrow \{k_n\}$ be a sequence in M and M is closed so $k \in M$

Hence, $x - k \in K$.

$\Rightarrow K$ is closed.

To prove K is convex:- let two points

$z_1, z_2 \in K$ then

$$z_1 = x - k_1$$

$$\text{and } z_2 = x - k_2$$

when $k_1, k_2 \in M$

if

$$d z_1 + (1-d) z_2 = d(x - k_1) + (1-d)(x - k_2)$$

$$= (dx - dk_1) + (x - k_2 - dx + dk_2)$$

$$= dx - dk_1 + x - k_2 - dx + dk_2$$

$$= x - k_2 - dk_1 + dk_2$$

$$= x - (k_2 + dk_1 - dk_2)$$

$$= x - (dk_1 + k_2(1-d))$$

$$= x - (dk_1 + (1-d)k_2)$$

since M is convex.

$\therefore k_1, k_2 \in M$

$\Rightarrow \lambda k_1 + (1-\lambda)k_2 \in M$

Thus,

$$x - (\lambda k_1 + (1-\lambda)k_2) \in K$$

Hence, K is convex.

Therefore \exists a unique element k of the smallest norm. let this element be denoted by z .

Now if k is an element of M of norm

$$z - \langle z, k \rangle k \in K$$

and

$$\|z\|^2 \leq \|z - \langle z, k \rangle k\|^2$$

$$= \langle z - \langle z, k \rangle k, z - \langle z, k \rangle k \rangle$$

$$= \langle z, z - \langle z, k \rangle k \rangle - \langle z, k \rangle \langle k, z - \langle z, k \rangle k \rangle$$

$$= \langle z, z \rangle - \langle z, k \rangle \langle z, k \rangle - \langle z, k \rangle \langle z, k \rangle + \langle z, k \rangle \langle z, k \rangle$$

$$+ \langle z, k \rangle \langle z, k \rangle - \langle k, k \rangle$$

$$= \|z\|^2 - |\langle z, k \rangle|^2 - |\langle z, k \rangle|^2 + |\langle z, k \rangle|^2$$

$$= \|z\|^2 - |\langle z, k \rangle|^2 - |\langle z, k \rangle|^2 + |\langle z, k \rangle|^2$$

$$= \|z\|^2 - |\langle z, k \rangle|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow |\langle z, k \rangle|^2 \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle z, k \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow z \in M^\perp$$

$$\therefore z \in K$$

$\Rightarrow \exists y \in M$ s.t.

$$z = x - y$$

$$\Rightarrow x = y + z$$

Hence Proved.

Uniqueness:- suppose that

$$x = y_1 + z_1 = y_2 + z_2$$

where $y_1, y_2 \in M$ and $z_1, z_2 \in M^\perp$

Then,

$$y_2 - y_1 = z_1 - z_2 \in M \cap M^\perp$$

Hence,

$$y_2 - y_1 = 0 \Rightarrow y_1 = y_2$$

similarly

$$z_1 = z_2$$

This proves the required uniqueness and Hence complete the proof of theorem.

Riesz Representation Theorem

Statement:- Every bounded linear function f on a Hilbert space H can be represented in terms of the inner product namely

$$f(x) = \langle x, z \rangle$$

where z depends on f is uniquely determine by f and Hence the norm

$$\|z\| = \|f\|.$$

Proof:- we have to show that

(a) f has a representation
 $f(x) = \langle x, z \rangle$ — (1)

(b) z in (1) is unique.

(c) $\|z\| = \|f\|$ — (2)

The details are as follows:

(a) If $f=0$ then (1) and (2) holds if we take $z=0$.

If $f \neq 0$.

First of all $z \neq 0$ since otherwise $f=0$ if

$\langle x, z \rangle = 0 \forall x$ for which $f(x)=0$.

i.e. $\langle x, z \rangle = 0 \forall x \in \text{ker } f$.

$\Rightarrow z \perp x \forall x \in \text{ker } f$

or we can say that $z \perp \text{ker } f$.

Now, we consider the two cases.

Case I:- If $\text{ker } f = H$ then?

$f(x) = 0 \forall x \in H$

$\Rightarrow f(x) = \langle x, 0 \rangle \forall x \in H$

Thus the result holds.

Case II:- If $\text{ker } f \neq H$ then \exists an element $z_0 \in H$ of unit norm orthogonal to $\text{ker } f$.

since,

$z_0 \notin \text{ker } f$

$\Rightarrow f(z_0) \neq 0$

\therefore for any $x \in H$ we can write,

$$f\left(x - \frac{f(x)}{f(z_0)} z_0\right) = f(x) - \frac{f(x)}{f(z_0)} f(z_0) = f(x) - f(x) = 0.$$

$\Rightarrow x - \frac{f(x)}{f(z_0)} z_0 \in \text{ker } f$

Now, since z_0 is orthogonal to $\text{ker } f$ so,

$$\left\langle x - \frac{f(x)}{f(z_0)} z_0, z_0 \right\rangle = 0$$

$$\langle x, z_0 \rangle - \frac{f(x)}{f(z_0)} \langle z_0, z_0 \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x, z_0 \rangle = \frac{f(x)}{f(z_0)} \|z_0\|^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x, z_0 \rangle = \frac{f(x)}{f(z_0)} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f(z_0) \langle x, z_0 \rangle - f(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x, f(z_0) z_0 \rangle - f(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) = \langle x, f(z_0) z_0 \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) = \langle x, z \rangle \quad \text{if } z = f(z_0) z_0$$

(b) To prove uniqueness :- suppose that for all $x \in H$,
 $f(x) = \langle x, z_1 \rangle = \langle x, z_2 \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow \langle x, z_1 - z_2 \rangle = 0 \quad \forall x \in H$.

In particular choosing the particular element $x = z_1 - z_2$ then we have,

$$\langle z_1 - z_2, z_1 - z_2 \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \|z_1 - z_2\|^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow z_1 - z_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow z_1 = z_2$$

(c) Let finally If $f=0$ then $z=0$ and (2) holds, so let $f \neq 0$ then $z \neq 0$. So from (1) we have,

$$f(x) = \langle x, z \rangle \quad \forall x \in H$$

putting $x = z$ we get

$$f(z) = \langle z, z \rangle = \|z\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|z\|^2 = f(z) \leq \|f\| \|z\| \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

since $z \neq 0$ i.e. $\|z\| \neq 0$

Division by $\|z\|$ in (3) gives us,

$$\|z\| \leq \|f\| \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

It remains to show that

$$\|z\| \geq \|f\|$$

From (1) we have,

$$f(x) = \langle x, z \rangle \quad \forall x \in H$$

$$\Rightarrow |f(x)| = |\langle x, z \rangle|$$

$$\Rightarrow |f(x)| \leq \|x\| \|z\| \quad \text{f: by Cauchy Schwarz inequality}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{|f(x)|}{\|x\|} \leq \|z\|$$

$$\sup_{\|x\|=1} |f(x)| \leq \|z\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{\|x\|=1} |f(x)| : \|x\| = 1 \leq \|z\|$$

$\Rightarrow \|f\| \leq \|z\|$ --- (5)

combining (4) and (5) we get,

$$\|z\| \leq \|z\| \Rightarrow \|f\| = \|z\| \quad \text{Hence Proved.}$$

Bounded linear operators on Hilbert space :-

Theorem: If $T \in B(X)$ then there exist a unique $U \in B(X)$ such that,
 $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, Uy \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in X$.

Proof: - for a fixed $y \in X$ let $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by,

$$\phi(x) = \langle Tx, y \rangle \quad \forall x \in X \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

(i) To prove that ϕ is linear:—
for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x_1, x_2 \in X$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) &= \langle T(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2), y \rangle \\ &= \langle (\alpha Tx_1 + \beta Tx_2), y \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha Tx_1, y \rangle + \langle \beta Tx_2, y \rangle \\ &= \alpha \langle Tx_1, y \rangle + \beta \langle Tx_2, y \rangle \\ &= \alpha \phi(x_1) + \beta \phi(x_2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, ϕ is linear.

(ii) ϕ is bounded:—

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\| &= \sup\{|\phi(x)| : \|x\| = 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|\langle Tx, y \rangle| : \|x\| = 1\} \\ &\leq \sup\{\|Tx\| \|y\| : \|x\| = 1\} \\ &\leq \|y\| \sup\{\|Tx\| : \|x\| = 1\} \\ &\leq \|y\| \|T\| \end{aligned}$$

so, $\|\phi\| \leq \|y\| \|T\|$
Hence ϕ is bounded.

consequently ϕ is a bounded linear functional on X .

\therefore by Riesz-Representation theorem \exists a unique z in X such that

$$\phi(x) = \langle x, z \rangle \quad \forall x \in X$$

Now define,

$$Uy = z$$

clearly U is linear
Then,

$$\phi(x) = \langle x, Uy \rangle$$

by eqn (1)

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, Uy \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

putting $x = Uy$ we have,

$$\|Uy\|^2 = \langle Uy, Uy \rangle$$

$$= \langle TUy, y \rangle$$

$$\leq \|TUy\| \|y\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Uy\|^2 \leq \|T\| \|y\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|U\| \leq \|T\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|U\| \leq \|T\|$$

Hence U is bounded.

To prove uniqueness:— suppose that there is another $v \in B(X)$ such that

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, vy \rangle$$

also we have,

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, Uy \rangle$$

Thus we get,

$$\langle x, Uy \rangle = \langle x, vy \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x, Uy - vy \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow U, v \in B(X)$$

$$\therefore Uy, vy \in X$$

Thus we can write,

$$\langle Uy - Vy, Uy - Vy \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Uy - Vy\|^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Uy - Vy = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{Uy = Vy}$$

~~Thus U is linear.~~

Hence U is unique if $T \in B(X)$ then \exists a unique $U \in B(X)$ s.t.

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, Uy \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

* Adjoint Operator :- Let $T \in B(X)$. Then the adjoint of T , denoted by T^* is the unique element of $B(X)$ satisfying

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

The adjoint operator of T^* is denoted by T^{**} .

* Theorem :- The mapping $T \rightarrow T^*$ of $B(X)$ into itself has the following properties for $T, T_1, T_2 \in B(X)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ we have,

- (i) $I^* = I$ where I is the identity operator.
- (ii) $(T_1 + T_2)^* = T_1^* + T_2^*$
- (iii) $(\alpha T)^* = \bar{\alpha} T^*$
- (iv) $(T_1 T_2)^* = T_2^* T_1^*$

(i) $T^{**} = (T^*)^* = T$

(ii) $\|T^*\| = \|T\|$

(iii) $\|T^*T\| = \|T\|^2$

(iv) If T is invertible, so is T^* and $(T^*)^{-1} = (T^{-1})^*$

Proof :- (i) Since $Tx = x \quad \forall x \in X$ we have,

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$$

and by the definition of T^* , we have,

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle$$

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle$$

$$\langle x, y - T^*y \rangle = 0 \quad \forall x \in X$$

$$\langle y - T^*y, y \rangle = 0$$

$$T^*y = y = Iy$$

$$T^* = I$$

(ii) To prove that

$$(T_1 + T_2)^* = T_1^* + T_2^*$$

Now, for any $x, y \in X$

$$\langle (T_1 + T_2)x, y \rangle = \langle T_1x + T_2x, y \rangle$$

$$= \langle T_1x, y \rangle + \langle T_2x, y \rangle$$

$$= \langle x, T_1^*y \rangle + \langle x, T_2^*y \rangle$$

$$= \langle x, T_1^*y + T_2^*y \rangle$$

$$= \langle x, (T_1^* + T_2^*)y \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle (T_1 + T_2)^*x, y \rangle = \langle x, (T_1^* + T_2^*)y \rangle$$

$$(T_1 + T_2)^* = T_1^* + T_2^*$$

(iii) $(\alpha T)^* = \alpha T^*$
 for $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$
 $\langle \alpha T x, y \rangle = \alpha \langle T x, y \rangle$
 $= \alpha \langle x, T^* y \rangle$
 $= \langle x, \alpha T^* y \rangle$

Hence, $(\alpha T)^* = \alpha T^*$

(iv) To prove that $(T_1 T_2)^* = T_2^* T_1^*$
 for $x, y \in X$
 $\langle (T_1 T_2) x, y \rangle = \langle T_1 T_2 x, y \rangle$
 $= \langle T_1 x, T_2^* y \rangle$
 $= \langle x, T_1^* (T_2^* y) \rangle$
 $\langle (T_1 T_2) x, y \rangle = \langle x, T_2^* T_1^* y \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow (T_1 T_2)^* = T_2^* T_1^*$

(v) To prove that $T^{**} = (T^*)^* = T$
 for any $x, y \in X$
 $\langle x, T^{**} y \rangle = \langle T^* x, y \rangle$
 $\langle T^* x, y \rangle = \langle x, T y \rangle$
 $\langle x, T^{**} y \rangle = \langle x, T y \rangle$
 $T^{**} = (T^*)^* = T$

(vi) To prove that $\|T^*\| = \|T\|$
 for any $x, y \in X$.

$$\Rightarrow \|T^* x\|^2 = \langle T^* x, T^* x \rangle$$

$$\leq |\langle T(T^* x), x \rangle|$$

$$\leq \|T(T^* x)\| \|x\|$$

$$\leq \|T\| \|T^* x\| \|x\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|T^* x\|^2 \leq \|T\| \|T^* x\| \|x\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|T^* x\| \leq \|T\| \|x\| \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Thus $\|T^*\| \leq \|T\|$

Now,
 $\|T\| \leq \|T^*\| \quad \because T = T^{**}$
 $\Rightarrow \|T^*\| \leq \|T\|$
 $\Rightarrow \|T\| \|x\| \leq \|T^* x\| \quad \text{--- (2)}$
 from (1) and (2) we get,
 $\|T^* x\| = \|T\| \|x\|$

$\|T^*\| = \|T\|$

(vii) To prove that,
 $\|T^* T\| = \|T\|^2$
 for $T_1, T_2 \in B(X)$ and $\alpha \in X$ we have,
 $\|(T_1 T_2) \alpha\| = \|T_2 T_2 \alpha\|$
 $\leq \|T_1\| \|T_2 \alpha\|$
 $\|T_2 T_2 \alpha\| \leq \|T_1\| \|T_2\| \|\alpha\|$
 $\|T_2 T_2\| \leq \|T_1\| \|T_2\|$

It follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^*T\| &\leq \|T^*\| \|T\| \\ &\leq \|T\| \|T\| \\ &\leq \|T\|^2 \quad \text{--- (1)} \end{aligned}$$

again for $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx\|^2 &= \langle Tx, Tx \rangle \\ &= \langle x, T^*(Tx) \rangle \\ &\leq \|x\| \|T^*(Tx)\| \\ &\leq \|x\| \|T^*T\| \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx\|^2 &\leq \|x\|^2 \|T^*T\| \\ \|Tx\| &\leq \|x\| \|T^*T\|^{1/2} \\ \|T\| &\leq \|T^*T\|^{1/2} \quad \text{--- (2)} \end{aligned}$$

so, by (1) and (2) we get,

$$\|T^*T\| = \|T\|^2 = \|T^*\|^2$$

(vii) since $I^* = I$ and $\|I\| = \|I^*\|$
 $II^* = I^*I = I$

we have,

$$(II^*)^* = I^* = I \quad \text{--- (iii)}$$

$$(II^*)^* = (I^*)^* I^*$$

$$\therefore (I^*)^* I^* = I \quad \text{and so, } \|I^*\| = \|I\|$$

$$(T^*)^* = (T^*)^*$$

This complete the proof.

* Definition:- let $T \in B(X)$ then,

(i) T is self-adjoint or Hermitian if $T = T^*$

(ii) T is normal if $T^*T = TT^*$.

(iii) T is unitary if $T^*T = TT^* = I$.

(iv) T is positive if $\langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in X$.
 It is called strictly positive if $\langle Tx, T \rangle = 0$
 only if $x = 0$.

* Reflexive Hilbert space

Theorem:- Every Hilbert space is reflexive.

Proof:- let H be a Hilbert space and define a mapping from,

$$T: H^* \rightarrow H \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$T(f) = y \quad \forall f \in H^* \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

where y is the representer of f i.e.

$$f(x) = \langle x, y \rangle \quad \forall x \in H \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

First we shall show that H^* is Hilbert space so for $f, g \in H^*$ take,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle &= \langle Tg, Tf \rangle \\ &= \langle z, y \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{--- (4)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle f, g \rangle = \langle z, y \rangle \geq 0$$

with $g(x) = \langle x, z \rangle \quad \forall x \in H$.

$$\Rightarrow \langle f, g \rangle \geq 0$$

also,

$$\langle f, f \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle Tf, Tf \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Tf = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle f, f \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f = 0$$

$$\langle f+g, h \rangle = \langle Th, T(f+g) \rangle$$

$$= \langle Th, Tf \rangle + \langle Th, Tg \rangle$$

$$= \langle Th, Tf \rangle$$

$$= \langle f, h \rangle + \langle g, h \rangle$$

and for any scalar α and,

$$\langle \alpha f, g \rangle = \langle Tg, T(\alpha f) \rangle$$

$$= \langle Tg, \alpha Tf \rangle$$

$$= \alpha \langle Tg, Tf \rangle$$

$$= \alpha \langle f, g \rangle$$

Thus $\langle f, g \rangle$ given by (4) is an inner product for H^* .

we know that X^* is complete norm linear space with norm induced by inner product.

Hence H^* is Hilbert space.

Now we shall show that the canonical embedding $\Pi: H \rightarrow H^*$ is surjective.

$$\langle Tf, Tg \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad 0 \leq \langle f, f \rangle =$$

let $F \in H^*$ and its Riesz representation be given by.

$$F(f) = \langle f, f_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle Tf_0, Tf \rangle \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

put $Tf_0 = x$ so that we have,

$$\langle Tf_0, Tf \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$$

$$= f(x) \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

$$F(f) = f(x) \quad \forall f \in H^*$$

Hence $\boxed{\Pi(x) = F}$ Hence Proved.

* Hilbert space:- A complete inner product space is called Hilbert space.

¹⁴ * Theorem: let X be a Hilbert space and Y be a proper closed subspace of X then \exists a non-zero vector $x \in X$ st $x \perp Y$.

Proof:- (unit III $x = z_0, Y = M$) Repeat.

* Dual of the Hilbert space:- If X is Hilbert space then set of all bounded linear functional on X is called dual of X and it is denoted by X^* .

* Theorem: For $x \in X$ let f_x be a functional defined by $f_x(y) = \langle y, x \rangle \quad \forall y \in X$ then f_x is linear and bounded i.e. $f_x \in X^*$ also $\|f_x\| = \|x\|$.

Proof: Let $y_1, y_2 \in X$ and a, b are scalars then,

$$f_x(ay_1 + by_2) = \langle ay_1 + by_2, x \rangle$$

↓ by defn of f_x

$$= \langle ay_1, x \rangle + \langle by_2, x \rangle$$

$$= a \langle y_1, x \rangle + b \langle y_2, x \rangle$$

$$f_x(ay_1 + by_2) = a f_x(y_1) + b f_x(y_2)$$

$\Rightarrow f_x$ is linear.

Also,

$$\|f_x(y)\| = |\langle y, x \rangle| \leq \|y\| \|x\| \quad \text{by Cauchy Schwarz inequality}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\|f_x(y)\|}{\|y\|} \leq \|x\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup \frac{\|f_x(y)\|}{\|y\|} \leq \|x\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|f_x\| \leq \|x\| \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

since $x \in X$ we have x to itself

$$\|f_x\| = \sup \frac{\|f_x(x)\|}{\|x\|} \quad \text{we get}$$

$$\|f_x\| \geq \|x\| \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

inequalities (1) and (2) implies $\|f_x\| = \|x\|$

Hence, f_x is linear and bounded i.e. $f_x \in X^*$ also $\|f_x\| = \|x\|$.

13, 14

* Theorem: - Let X be a Hilbert space and let the mapping $\psi: X \rightarrow X^*$ be defined by $\psi(x) = f_x \quad \forall x \in X$ where $f_x(y) = \langle y, x \rangle \quad \forall y \in X$. Then ψ is one-one, onto conjugate linear norm preserving and hence isomorphic.

Proof: - given that X be a Hilbert space and let the mapping $\psi: X \rightarrow X^*$ be defined by

$$\psi(x) = f_x \quad \forall x \in X \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

where

$$f_x(y) = \langle y, x \rangle \quad \forall y \in X \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

(i) for linearity:

$$\psi(x_1 + x_2) = \psi(x_1) + \psi(x_2)$$

let $x_1, x_2 \in X$ then by (1) we get

$$\psi(x_1 + x_2) = f_{x_1 + x_2}$$

Now,

$$f_{x_1 + x_2}(y) = \langle y, x_1 + x_2 \rangle$$

$$= \langle y, x_1 \rangle + \langle y, x_2 \rangle$$

$$= f_{x_1}(y) + f_{x_2}(y)$$

$$f_{x_1+x_2}(y) = (f_{x_1} + f_{x_2})(y)$$

$$\therefore f_{x_1+x_2} = f_{x_1} + f_{x_2}$$

Hence

$$\psi(x_1+x_2) = f_{x_1+x_2}$$

$$= f_{x_1} + f_{x_2}$$

$$\psi(x_1+x_2) = \psi(x_1) + \psi(x_2)$$

also if $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$

$$f_{\alpha x}(y) = \langle y, \alpha x \rangle$$

$$= \bar{\alpha} \langle y, x \rangle$$

$$f_{\alpha x}(y) = \bar{\alpha} f_x(y) \quad \forall y \in X$$

$$f_{\alpha x} = \bar{\alpha} f_x$$

Hence

$$\psi(\alpha x) = f_{\alpha x} = \bar{\alpha} f_x = \bar{\alpha} \psi(x)$$

$\Rightarrow \psi$ is conjugate linear.

(ii) for one-one: - let $x_1, x_2 \in X$ s.t.

$$\psi(x_1) = \psi(x_2)$$

$$f_{x_1} = f_{x_2}$$

$$f_{x_1}(y) = f_{x_2}(y) \quad \forall y \in X \quad (1)$$

$$\langle y, x_1 \rangle = \langle y, x_2 \rangle \quad \forall y \in X$$

$$\langle y, x_1 \rangle - \langle y, x_2 \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle y, x_1 - x_2 \rangle = 0$$

if $y = x_1 - x_2$ then

$$\langle x_1 - x_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle = 0$$

$$\|x_1 - x_2\|^2 = 0$$

$$\|x_1 - x_2\| = 0$$

$$x_1 - x_2 = 0$$

$$x_1 = x_2$$

$$\text{i.e. } \psi(x_1) = \psi(x_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$$

$\Rightarrow \psi$ is one-one.

(iii) Onto: - let f be an arbitrary function in X^* then by Riesz representation theorem \exists a unique $y \in X$ s.t.

$$f(x) = \langle x, y \rangle \quad \forall x \in X$$

then by defⁿ of f_y we have

$$f_y(x) = \langle x, y \rangle = f(x)$$

$$\text{i.e. } f_y(x) = f(x) \quad \forall x \in X$$

$$\Rightarrow f_y = f$$

$$\text{Now } \psi(y) = f_y = f$$

Thus for each $f \in X^*$ we get $y \in X$ s.t.

$$\psi(y) = f$$

$\Rightarrow \psi$ is onto.

(iv) Norm Preserving:

$$\|f_x - f_y\| = \|f_x - y\|$$

$$= \|x - y\|$$

$$\therefore \|\psi(x) - \psi(y)\| = \|x - y\|$$

$\Rightarrow \psi$ is norm preserving.

$\therefore \psi$ is one-one, onto and preserve the norm. therefore ψ is isometric from X onto X^* .

¹⁵ * **Theorem:** - The dual of Hilbert space is Hilbert space.

Proof: - Let X be a Hilbert space then every point x^* in X^* is of the form $f_x \forall x \in X$ where

$$f_x(u) = \langle u, x \rangle \quad \forall u \in X.$$

Thus we can define the inner product in X^* by

$$\langle x^*, y^* \rangle = \langle f_x, f_y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle \quad \text{--- (i)}$$

where $x^* = f_x$ and $y^* = f_y$ are any two points in X^*

(i) $\langle x^*, x^* \rangle \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^*, x^* \rangle &= \langle f_x, f_x \rangle \\ &= \langle x, x \rangle \geq 0 \\ &= \|x\|^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle x^*, x^* \rangle \geq 0.$$

(ii) Let $x^*, y^* \in X^*$

$$\langle \alpha x^* + \beta y^*, z^* \rangle = \alpha \langle x^*, z^* \rangle + \beta \langle y^*, z^* \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha x^* + \beta y^*, z^* \rangle &= \langle \alpha f_x + \beta f_y, f_z \rangle \\ &= \langle f_{\alpha x + \beta y}, f_z \rangle \\ &= \langle f_{\alpha x + \beta y}, f_z \rangle \\ &= \langle z, \alpha x + \beta y \rangle \\ &= \alpha \langle z, x \rangle + \beta \langle z, y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \alpha \langle f_x, f_z \rangle + \beta \langle f_y, f_z \rangle \\ &= \alpha \langle x^*, z^* \rangle + \beta \langle y^*, z^* \rangle \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\langle \alpha x^* + \beta y^*, z^* \rangle = \alpha \langle x^*, z^* \rangle + \beta \langle y^*, z^* \rangle$$

(iii)

$$\langle \overline{x^*}, y^* \rangle = \langle y^*, x^* \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \overline{x^*}, y^* \rangle &= \langle \overline{f_x}, f_y \rangle \\ &= \langle \overline{y}, x \rangle \\ &= \langle x, y \rangle \\ &= \langle f_y, f_x \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \overline{x^*}, y^* \rangle = \langle y^*, x^* \rangle$$

(iv)

$$\langle x^*, x^* \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow x^* = 0$$

$$\langle x^*, x^* \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle f_x, f_x \rangle = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle x, x \rangle = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle y, x \rangle = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f_x(y) = 0 \quad \forall y.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f_x = 0$$

This is an inner product and Hence X^* is an inner product space.

¹⁶ * **Theorem:** - A Hilbert space is reflexive.

Proof: - since Hilbert space is a uniformly convex Banach space so it is sufficient to prove that every convex Banach space is reflexive.

we know that X is reflexive $\Leftrightarrow f_{x^*}$ attains

its norm on the unit unit ball also f_{S^*} attains its norm.

The unit Ball is equivalent to every f_{S^*} attains its norm on S where,

$$S = \{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\} \text{ and}$$

$$S^* = \{f \in X^* : \|f\| = 1\}$$

as if it is true for S^* then if $f \in S^*$ and $g \in X^*$. let

$$f = \frac{g}{\|g\|}$$

then, $\|f\| = 1$

$$\therefore f \in S^*$$

$\Rightarrow \exists x_0$ s.t.

$$f(x_0) = \|f\| = 1$$

$$f(x_0) = \frac{g(x_0)}{\|g\|}$$

$$1 = \frac{g(x_0)}{\|g\|} \Rightarrow \|g\| = g(x_0)$$

It is true for X^*

To proving this we shall prove the following lemma.

lemma: - If X is uniformly convex $f \in S^*$ and $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in S s.t.

$f(x_n) \rightarrow 1$ then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof of lemma: - if $\{x_n\}$ is not Cauchy sequence then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a

subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}$ s.t.

$$\|x_{n_j} - x_{n_k}\| \geq \epsilon \quad \forall j, k, j \neq k$$

By uniformly convexity it follows that for $j \neq k \exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$$\left\| \frac{x_{n_j} + x_{n_k}}{2} \right\| \leq 1 - \delta$$

But then,

$$\left| f\left(\frac{x_{n_j} + x_{n_k}}{2}\right) \right| \leq \|f\| \left\| \frac{x_{n_j} + x_{n_k}}{2} \right\| \leq 1 - \delta$$

i.e.

$$\left| f\left(\frac{x_{n_j} + x_{n_k}}{2}\right) \right| \leq 1 - \delta$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} (f(x_{n_j}) + f(x_{n_k})) \right| \leq 1 - \delta$$

Thus taking $j, k \rightarrow \infty$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (1 + 1) \leq 1 - \delta$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 \leq 1 - \delta$$

which is a contradiction.

$\Rightarrow \{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence.

Proof of the main theorem. let $f \in S^*$ and $\{x_n\}$ a sequence in S s.t.

$$f(x_n) \rightarrow 1$$

then by above lemma $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy theorem. sequence.

\therefore space is complete Therefore \exists a point $x \in S$. $x_n \rightarrow x$.

$$\Rightarrow f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$$

Thus,

$$f(x) = 1$$

since convergent limit is unique i.e.

$$f(x) = \|f\|_1 = \int |f(x)| dx$$

$\Rightarrow X$ is reflexive.

$$2-1 \geq \frac{1}{2} \int |f(x)| dx$$

$$2-1 \geq \frac{1}{2} \int |f(x)| dx$$

$$2-1 > \frac{1}{2} \int |f(x)| dx$$

$$2-1 > 1$$

contradiction as $2-1 > 1$ is not possible.

Proof of the main theorem

Let $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$ and $g \in L^1(X, \mu)$

then $f+g \in L^1(X, \mu)$ and $\|f+g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 + \|g\|_1$

From the above theorem it follows that